2 Smith TF. Chlamydia. In Schmidt NJ, Emmons RW (eds.), Diagnostic procedures for viral, rickettsial and chlamydial infections, 6th Edn. Washington: American Public Health Association, 1989.

Theils H, Gnarpe J, Gnarpe H, et al. Expanded gold standard in the diagnosis of Chlamydia trachomatis in a low

prevalence population: diagnostic efficacy of tissue culture, direct immunofluorescence, enzyme immunoassay, PCR and serology. Genitourin Med 1994;70:300-3.

4 Lee HH, Chernesky MA, Schachter J, et al. Diagnosis of Chlamydia trachomatis genito-urinary infection in women

by ligase chain reaction assay of urine. Lancet 1995; 345:213-6.

 5 Palmer HM, Gilroy CB, Thomas BJ, Hay PE, Gilchrist C, Taylor-Robinson D. Detection of Chlamydia trachomatics by the polymerase chain reaction in swabs and urine from men with non-gonococcal urethritis. J Clin Pathol 1991;44:321-5.

6 Mahony JB, Luinstra KE, Sellors JE, Jang D, Chernesky MA. Confirmatory polymerase chain reaction testing for Chlamydia trachomatis in First-Void urine from asymptomatic and symptomatic men. J Clin Microbiol 1992;30:

Controlling chlamydial infection

The Health of the Nation target for the reduction in incidence of gonorrhoea has already been achieved in some areas and thus it has become necessary to explore the possibility of substituting targets for other infections. Smith et al1 in Glasgow showed a 12% chlamydia rate in 197 asymptomatic women attending for cervical cytology. In Trent Region a pilot study has been conducted to determine whether a community based chlamydia screening exercise would be a worthwhile undertaking.

One large group practice in Arnold, Nottingham (suburban and four practices in South Lincolnshire (rural) were recruited. Cervical swabs were taken at the time that women attended for routine cytology sampling. Information was collected on the age of patients, on whether they were involved in a stable relationship, and on whether there was a present or past history indicative of urogenital infection. The swabs were processed locally using ELISA (IDEIA) kits and the results were made available directly to the general practitioners concerned. The protocol stated that patients should be referred to the local genitourinary medicine clinic for contact tracing, testing for other sexually transmissible infections and treatment, with an option for the general practitioner to test for other infections and give treatment in case of clinical need before referral for contact tracing.

Age was the only category which provided useful discriminative information. This confirms the findings of Ramstedt et al2 in Sweden and Hunter Handsfield et al3 in the USA. There was no conscious selection for screening among the younger women but clearly from the numbers and yields involved there is an element of self-selection (table).

Fortunately it is not necessary to understand this process in order to conclude which age groups satisfy existing criteria of cost effectiveness. However, better definition will be required to evaluate change over time.

Disappointingly, only five out of the 40 positive patients were referred to the local departments of genitourinary medicine. No other patients with positive results were found to have attended the local clinic in the rural area but in contrast the majority of the suburban patients eventually attended. Opportunistic screening and treatment will fail to reduce the prevalence of chlamydia without co-ordinated follow-up and contact tracing.

This study does not tell us whether patients who have once been tested should be retested and if so at what intervals. More importantly we need to develop an initiative which focuses ownership on the medical and nursing staff in general practice, family planning and teenage clinics on whom success finally depends

J C CLAY
Dept of Genitourinary Medicine,
Pilgrim Health NHS Trust, Sibsey Road, Boston, Lincs PE21 9QS, UK C A BOWMAN Dept of Genitourinary Medicine, Nottingham City Hospital NHS Trust, Hucknall Rd, Nottingham NG5 1PB, UK

1 Smith JR, Murdock J, Carrington D, et al. Prevalence of Chlamydia trachomatis infection in women having cervical smear tests. BMJ 1991;302:82-

Ramstedt K, Forssman L, Giesecke J, Granath F. Risk factors for Chlamydia trachomatis infection in 6810 young women attending family planning clinics. Int J STD AIDS 1992;3:117-22.

3 Hunter Handsfield H, Jasman LL, Roberts PL, Hanson VW, Kothenbentel RL, Stamm WE. Criteria for selective screening for Chlamvdia trachomatis infection in women ttending family planning clinics. JAMA 1986;255: 1730-4.

Accepted for publication 2 January 1996

Genitourinary colposcopy services in the UK

I have recently performed a telephone survey of every genitourinary clinic in England, Wales, Scotland and Northern Ireland. My question was a simple one: "do you perform colposcopy in your clinic?"

It would appear from the answers that of 252 genitourinary clinics 93 provide colposcopy services.

Readers can obtain a copy of the address list and contact name if they would care to send me a stamped addressed A4 size envelope.

D A HICKS Dept of Genitourinary Medicine Royal Hallamshire Hospital Glossop Road Sheffield, S10 2JF, UK

Accepted for publication 2 January 1996.

Results of cervical swabs

Age group (years)	Positive/No tested (%)		
	Surburban	Rural	Total
15-19 20-24 25-29 30-39 40+ Total tested	3/17 (17·6) 7/62 (11·3) 3/88 (3·4) 2/179 (4·5) 0/99 (0) 1042	5/21 (23·8) 5/64 (7·8) 6/74 (8·1) 7/156 (4·5) 2/282 (0·7) Total Positive	8/38 (21·1) 12/126 (9·5) 9/162 (5·6) 9·335 (2·7) 2·381 (0·5) 40 (3·8%)